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ABSTRACT. To realize a small inductor-less AC-AC converter, this paper presents a
cascade direct AC-AC converter using switched-capacitor (SC) techniques. The proposed
AC-AC converter has cascade topology, where converter blocks with the conversion ratio
of 1/2 or 2 are connected in series. Owing to the cascade topology, multiple conversion
is performed to realize high conversion ratio. Furthermore, each converter block consists
of only two capacitors and four switches, because no flying capacitor is necessary to re-
alize AC-AC conversion. By reducing the number of capacitors, the proposed converter
achieves smaller circuit components and higher input power factor than conventional
converters. To clarify the effectiveness of the proposed AC-AC converter, characteristic
evaluation was performed by using simulation program with integrated circuit emphasis
(SPICE) simulations and theoretical analysis. In the conversion ratio of 1/4, the SPICE
simulation result demonstrated that the proposed AC-AC converter can improve 8% power
efficiency and 0.4 input power factor from the conventional direct AC-AC converter us-
ing flying capacitors.

Keywords: AC-AC converters, Cascade topology, Direct conversion, Multiple conver-
sions, Switched-capacitor techniques

1. Introduction. In recent years, a switched-capacitor (SC) AC-AC converter is re-
ceiving much attention as an alternative appliance of autotransformers. Although the
autotransformer is heavy and bulky due to magnetic core and winding, a small and light
AC-AC converter can be offered by the SC techniques. The SC power converter can be
designed without magnetic components [1,2]. Furthermore, the SC power converter has no
core loss owing to inductor-less design. Therefore, the SC AC-AC converter can achieve
higher power efficiency than the autotransformer. For this reason, several SC AC-AC
converters have been proposed in the past few decades.

As far as the authors know, the first multi-level SC AC-AC converter was designed by
Ueno et al. in 1993 [3,4]. By using series-parallel topology, an electroluminescent lamp
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was driven by Ueno’s converter. However, the first SC AC-AC converter suffers from low power efficiency. To improve power efficiency and ripple noise, Terada et al. designed the SC AC-AC converter by using ring-type converter topology [5-7]. By connecting an AC-DC converter and a DC-AC converter, the ring-type AC-AC converter can achieve not only high power efficiency but also flexible control of output waveform. However, many circuit components are necessary to design the ring-type AC-AC converter. Furthermore, the circuit control is complex, because the ring-type AC-AC converter requires multi-phase clock pulses to drive bidirectional switches. For above-mentioned reasons, the multi-level SC AC-AC converters are not effective as an alternative appliance of autotransformers.

To solve these problems, a direct SC AC-AC converter has been designed by Lazzarin et al. [8,9] and Andersen et al. [10] in 2012 and 2013. Unlike the multi-level SC AC-AC converters, an AC input is converted to the $\frac{1}{2^{}\times}$ stepped-down or $2^{}\times$ stepped-up AC voltage directly. Therefore, the number of circuit components for the direct AC-AC converter is much smaller than that for the multi-level SC AC-AC converters. Since the size of the direct SC AC-AC converter is small, it can be utilized to design not only a single-phase AC-AC converter but also a three-phase AC-AC converter [11]. However, the conversion ratio of Lazzarin’s AC-AC converter is fixed to only $\frac{1}{2^{}\times}$ or $2^{}\times$. For this reason, several attempts have been undertaken to realize various conversion ratios. By expanding Lazzarin’s converter, You and Hui developed the direct SC AC-AC converter realizing the conversion ratio of $\frac{1}{4^{}\times}$ or $4^{}\times$ [12]. The conventional converter topology proposed in [8-12] is the same and has flying capacitors. However, due to the flying capacitor, these converters [8-12] suffer from low power efficiency and low input power factor. Furthermore, the number of circuit components for these converters increases in proportion to the conversion ratio. Following these studies, Eguchi et al. [13,14] suggested the direct SC AC-AC converter with symmetrical topology. Owing to the symmetrical topology, Eguchi et al.’s converter [13,14] requires no flying capacitor. By reducing the number of capacitors, power efficiency and input power factor can be improved by the converter with symmetrical topology. However, the number of switches for the converter with symmetrical topology [13] is double of that for the converter with flying capacitors [8-10]. On the other hand, Do et al. developed the SC AC-AC converter by utilizing nesting voltage equalizers [15,16]. Unlike the conventional direct AC-AC converters proposed in [8-10], flexible conversion is offered by the direct SC AC-AC converter using nesting conversion. Owing to the nesting conversion, the conventional converter proposed in [15,16] can achieve higher power efficiency than the converters reported in [8-10]. However, there is still room for improvement to realize a small and efficient AC-AC converter.

In this paper, we propose a cascade direct AC-AC converter designed by using SC techniques. By cascading simple converter blocks, the proposed converter achieves high conversion ratios, such as $(1/2)^n\times$ and $2^n\times$, where $n$ is the number of converter blocks. Furthermore, the converter block consists of only two capacitors and four switches, because the proposed converter requires no flying capacitor. In the conversion ratio of 1/2 and 2, the converter block can reduce one capacitor from the conventional converter proposed in [8-10]. On the other hand, the converter block can reduce 4 switches from the conventional converter proposed in [13,14]. Hence, the proposed converter will offer low-cost realization, easy production, and improve stability. Furthermore, the proposed converter can improve the characteristics, such as input power factor and power efficiency, by reducing circuit components from the conventional converters. Concerning power efficiency, input power factor, and circuit size, the effectiveness of the proposed converter is evaluated by theoretical analysis and simulation program with integrated circuit emphasis (SPICE) simulations.
The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the circuit configuration of the proposed direct converter and discusses its operation principle to emphasize the difference between the proposed AC-AC converter and existing direct AC-AC converters. Section 3 reveals the theoretical characteristics of the proposed AC-AC converter. Furthermore, theoretical comparison is performed between the proposed converter and existing converters in the point of power efficiency and hardware cost. Section 4 demonstrates the simulated characteristics, such as output voltage, power efficiency, and input power factor, in order to clarify the effectiveness of the proposed converter. Finally, the result of this work is concluded in Section 5.

2. Circuit Configuration.

2.1. Conventional AC-AC converter. Figure 1 illustrates the circuit configuration of the conventional direct SC AC-AC converters using flying capacitors [8-10,12]. The converter shown in Figure 1(a) is the simplest converter [8-10] proposed in past studies. As Figure 1(a) shows, the conventional converter consists of four bidirectional switches, $S_1$ and $S_2$, and three capacitors, $C_1$, $C_2$, and $C_3$, where $S_1$ and $S_2$ are driven by non-overlapped two-phase clock pulses with constant switching frequency and duty cycle. The operation principle of Figure 1(a) is as follows.

![Circuit Configuration](image)

**Figure 1.** Conventional SC AC-AC converters: (a) basic converter realizing $1/2\times$ step-down conversion [8-10] and (b) expanded converter realizing $1/4\times$ step-down conversion [12]

In Figure 1(a), the AC input $V_{in}$ and the capacitors $C_2$ and $C_3$ are connected in series. Thus, a half voltage of $V_{in}$ is charged in $C_2$ and $C_3$. Although only the electric charge stored in $C_3$ is consumed by an output load, the voltages of $C_2$ and $C_3$ are averaged by changing the connection of the flying capacitor $C_1$ alternately. By averaging the voltages of $C_2$ and $C_3$, the $1/2\times$ step-down conversion is realized by Figure 1(a). Of course, by replacing the input terminal and the output terminal, the conventional converter of Figure 1(a) can generate the $2\times$ stepped-up voltage. In the case of $2\times$ step-up conversion, $V_{in}$ is charged in $C_3$. By changing the connection of the flying capacitor $C_1$ alternately, the voltage of $C_2$ becomes that of $C_3$. Therefore, the $2\times$ step-up conversion is realized, because $C_2$ and $C_3$ are connected to the output terminal in series.

The output voltage of the conventional converter using flying capacitors is expressed as

$$V_{out} = \begin{cases} 1/(N+1) & \text{(Step-down)} \\ N + 1 & \text{(Step-up)} \end{cases},$$  

(1)
where \( N \) is the number of stages. Furthermore, by increasing the number of stages as shown in Figure 1(b), high conversion ratio can be realized [12]. Table 1 shows the relation between the conversion ratio and the number of circuit components. As you can see from Table 1, the number of circuit components is proportional to the conversion ratio. However, the increase in the number of circuit components leads to the decrease in power efficiency and input power factor.

**Table 1.** Relation between the conversion ratio and the number of circuit components in the conventional converter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of stages</th>
<th>Conversion ratio</th>
<th>Number of switches</th>
<th>Number of capacitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Figure 1(a))</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (Figure 1(b))</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
<td>( \cdots )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N )</td>
<td>( 1/(N + 1) )</td>
<td>( 2(N + 1) )</td>
<td>( 2N + 1 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. **Proposed converter.** The circuit configuration of the proposed direct SC AC-AC converter is shown in Figure 2. Unlike the conventional converter of Figure 1(b), the proposed converter has cascade topology. By cascading the basic converter blocks shown in Figure 2(a), the proposed converter provides multiple conversion without flying capacitors. As Figure 2(a) shows, the basic converter block consists of four bidirectional switches, \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \), and two capacitors, \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \). Owing to the novel circuit topology, the proposed basic converter realizing \( 1/2 \times \) step-down conversion can achieve small and simple circuit configuration, because the proposed basic converter can reduce one capacitor from the conventional converter of Figure 1(a). Furthermore, the reduction of the
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number of capacitors leads to the improvement of input power factor. The proposed converter is also controlled by non-overlapped two-phase clock pulses with constant switching frequency and duty cycle. The operation principle of Figure 2(a) is as follows.

In Figure 2(a), the input voltage $V_{in}$ is divided into two by $C_1$ and $C_2$, because $V_{in}$ is connected to the series-connected capacitors, $C_1$ and $C_2$. By changing the connection of the output terminal, electric charges in $C_1$ and $C_2$ are consumed equally by the output load. Therefore, the converter block of Figure 2(a) can provide the $1/2 \times$ stepped-down voltage. Unlike the conventional converter of Figure 1, the proposed converter requires no flying capacitor, because the number of capacitors connected to the I/O terminals is constant. Of course, the $2 \times$ step-up conversion can be realized by swapping the input/output terminals.

By cascading the converter blocks, the proposed converter generates the following output voltage:

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2} & \text{(Step-down)} \\ \prod_{i=1}^{M} 2 & \text{(Step-up)} \end{cases},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $M$ is the number of converter blocks. Table 2 shows the relation between the conversion ratio and the number of circuit components in the proposed converter. Owing to the cascade topology without flying capacitors, the proposed converter can reduce the number of circuit components from the conventional converter. Concretely, as shown in Tables 1 and 2, three capacitors are reduced in the conversion ratio of 1/4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of converter blocks</th>
<th>Conversion ratio</th>
<th>Number of switches</th>
<th>Number of capacitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (Figure 2(a))</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (Figure 2(b))</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$(1/2)^M$</td>
<td>$4M$</td>
<td>$2M$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Theoretical Analysis Using an Equivalent Model.

3.1. Equivalent model in the conversion ratio of 1/4. To evaluate the properties of the proposed SC AC-AC converter, we conduct theoretical analysis by utilizing the four-terminal equivalent model [17,18] shown in Figure 3. In Figure 3, $m$ and $R_{SC}$ denote the turn ratio of an ideal transformer and the internal resistance of the power converter, respectively. In the theoretical analysis, the four-terminal equivalent model of the proposed converter is derived by using the instantaneous equivalent circuits of Figure 2(b). Figure 4 illustrates the instantaneous equivalent circuits of the proposed converter in the conversion ratio of 1/4, where $R_{on}$ is the on-resistance of the bidirectional switch, $\Delta q_{T_i,V_{in}}$ ($i = 1, 2$) is the electric charge of the input terminal in State-$T_i$, and $\Delta q_{T_i,V_{out}}$ ($i = 1, 2$) is the electric charge of the output terminal in State-$T_i$. In the four-terminal equivalent model, the parameter $m$ is derived from the relation between the input current and the output current of Figure 4. On the other hand, the parameter $R_{SC}$ is derived by
calculating the total consumed energy of the instantaneous equivalent circuits, where the AC input is assumed as a pulse waveform in order to simplify the theoretical analysis.

First, we discuss the differential value of electric charges $\Delta q^k_{T_1}$ in $C_k$ ($k = 1, \ldots, 4$). In a steady state, the electric charges of $C_k$ ($k = 1, \ldots, 4$) are the same at the start and end of the cycle $T$, because the overall change in electric charges is zero. Thus, the differential value $\Delta q^k_{T_1}$ satisfies

$$\Delta q^k_{T_1} + \Delta q^k_{T_2} = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In (3), the interval of $T_1$ and $T_2$ satisfies

$$T = T_1 + T_2 \text{ and } T_1 = T_2 = \frac{T}{2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $T$ is a period of the clock pulse.

In the instantaneous equivalent circuits of Figure 4, the relation between $\Delta q^k_{T_1}$'s is obtained by using Kirchhoff’s current law. In Figure 4(a), the differential values of electric charges in the input and the output, $\Delta q_{T_1,V_{in}}$ and $\Delta q_{T_1,V_{out}}$, satisfy

$$\Delta q_{T_1,V_{in}} = \Delta q^1_{T_1} + \Delta q^3_{T_1} - \Delta q_{T_1,V_{out}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\Delta q^1_{T_1} = \Delta q^2_{T_1} - \Delta q^4_{T_1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

and

$$\Delta q_{T_1,V_{out}} = \Delta q^3_{T_1} - \Delta q^4_{T_1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

On the other hand, in Figure 4(b), the differential values of electric charges in the input and the output, $\Delta q_{T_2,V_{in}}$ and $\Delta q_{T_2,V_{out}}$, satisfy

$$\Delta q_{T_2,V_{in}} = \Delta q^1_{T_2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
\[
\Delta q_{T_2}^1 = \Delta q_{T_2}^2 + \Delta q_{T_2}^3,
\]

and

\[
\Delta q_{T_2,V_{out}} = \Delta q_{T_2}^4 - \Delta q_{T_2}^3.
\]

From (5)-(10), the average currents of the input/output terminals, \( I_{in} \) and \( I_{out} \), are expressed by the overall change in electric charges. Using \( \Delta q_{T_i,V_{in}} \) and \( \Delta q_{T_i,V_{out}} \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)), we have \( I_{in} \) and \( I_{out} \) as

\[
I_{in} = \frac{\Delta q_{V_{in}}}{T} = \frac{\Delta q_{T_1,V_{in}} + \Delta q_{T_2,V_{in}}}{T},
\]

and

\[
I_{out} = \frac{\Delta q_{V_{out}}}{T} = \frac{\Delta q_{T_1,V_{out}} + \Delta q_{T_2,V_{out}}}{T}.
\]

In (11) and (12), \( \Delta q_{V_{in}} \) and \( \Delta q_{V_{out}} \) are electric charges in the input terminal and the output terminal, respectively. Substituting (3)-(10) into (11) and (12) yields the following relation between the input current and the output current:

\[
I_{in} = \frac{1}{4} I_{out},
\]

where

\[
\Delta q_{V_{in}} = \frac{1}{4} \Delta q_{V_{out}}.
\]

Therefore, we have \( m = 1/4 \).

Next, the parameter \( R_{SC} \) is derived by considering the total consumed energy of Figure 4. From Figure 4, the consumed energy, \( W_{T_1} \) and \( W_{T_2} \), is expressed as

\[
W_{T_1} = \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_1}^1}{T_1} \right)^2 \times R_{on} T_1 + \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_1,V_{in}} - \Delta q_{T_1}^1}{T_1} \right)^2 \times R_{on} T_1
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_1,V_{out}}}{T_1} \right)^2 \times 2R_{on} T_1,
\]

and

\[
W_{T_2} = \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_2}^2}{T_2} \right)^2 \times R_{on} T_2 + \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_2,V_{in}} - \Delta q_{T_2}^2}{T_2} \right)^2 \times R_{on} T_2
\]

\[
+ \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_2,V_{out}}}{T_2} \right)^2 \times 2R_{on} T_2,
\]

where \( W_{T_1} \) and \( W_{T_2} \) are total consumed energy in Figures 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. Substituting (3)-(10) into (15) and (16) yields

\[
W_T = W_{T_1} + W_{T_2} = \left( \frac{q_{V_{out}}}{T} \right)^2 \left( \frac{5}{2} \right) R_{on} T,
\]

where \( W_T \) is the total consumed energy in one period. On the other hand, the total consumed energy of Figure 3 can be defined as

\[
W_T \triangleq \left( \frac{q_{V_{out}}}{T} \right)^2 R_{SC} T.
\]

Therefore, we have the internal resistance \( R_{SC} \) as \((5/2)R_{on}\). By combining \( m = 1/4 \) and \( R_{SC} = (5/2)R_{on} \), the four-terminal equivalent model of the proposed converter is obtained as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{in} \\
I_{in}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
4 & 0 \\
0 & 1/4
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & (5/2)R_{on} \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
V_{out} \\
-I_{out}
\end{bmatrix},
\]
because the four-terminal model of Figure 4 can be expressed by the K-matrix. From (19), we get the maximum efficiency and the maximum output voltage as

\[
\eta = \frac{R_L}{R_L + (5/2)R_{on}},
\]

and

\[
V_{out} = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + (5/2)R_{on}} \right\} \times V_{in},
\]

where \( R_L \) denotes the output load. As you can see from (2) and (21), the parameter \( R_{SC} \) is one of the most important factors with the influence on the characteristics of direct SC AC-AC converters. Of course, in the conversion ratio of 4, the characteristics of the proposed converter can be analyzed by the same analysis method. The theoretical analysis in the conversion ratio of 4 will be discussed in Appendix.

3.2. Comparison. Table 3 shows the comparison of the number of circuit components between the proposed converter and the conventional converters in the conversion ratio of 1/4 and 4. As Table 3 shows, the number of circuit components for the proposed converter is the smallest. Hence, the proposed converter can realize simple and small circuit configuration. Especially, the reduction in the number of capacitors leads to the improvement in power efficiency and input power factor.

**Table 3. Comparison of the number of circuit components between the proposed converter and the conventional converters**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversion ratio</th>
<th>Number of switches</th>
<th>Number of capacitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed converter</td>
<td>1/4× or 4×</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional converter [8-12]</td>
<td>1/4× or 4×</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional converter [13,14]</td>
<td>1/4× or 4×</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional converter [15,16]</td>
<td>1/4× or 4×</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 shows the comparison of characteristics concerning internal resistance, power efficiency, and output voltage. As Table 4 shows, the internal resistance \( R_{SC} \) of the conventional converter with symmetrical topology [13,14] is the smallest. Therefore, the conventional converter with symmetrical topology [13,14] achieves the highest power efficiency. On the other hand, the internal resistance of the proposed converter is the same as that of the conventional converter using nesting conversion [15,16]. In other words, the power efficiency of the proposed converter is the same as that of the conventional converter using nesting conversion [15,16].

4. Simulation. To clarify the effectiveness of the proposed converter, we conducted the characteristic comparison using the SPICE simulator. In SPICE simulations, the characteristic of the proposed converter were compared with that of the conventional direct SC AC-AC converters. The SPICE simulations were performed under conditions that \( V_{in} = 220\text{V}@50\text{Hz}, C_1 = \cdots = C_4 = 33\mu\text{F}, C_{out} = 1\text{nF}, R_{on} = 0.83\Omega, T = 10\mu\text{s}, \) and \( T_1 = T_2 = 5\mu\text{s}, \) where \( C_{out} \) denotes the output capacitance of the AC-AC converter. To save space, we discuss the characteristics in the conversion ratio of 1/4 in this section.

Figure 5 demonstrates the simulated output voltage as a function of time, where the output load was set to 1kΩ. As you can see from Figure 5, about 55V@50Hz output was generated by converting the 220@50Hz input. As this result shows, the proposed direct
Table 4. Comparison of characteristics between the proposed converter and the conventional converters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversion ratio</th>
<th>$R_{SC}$</th>
<th>Power efficiency</th>
<th>Output voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed converter</td>
<td>1/4 $(5/2)R_{on}$</td>
<td>$\frac{R_L}{R_L + (5/2)R_{on}}$ $\frac{1}{4} \left{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + (5/2)R_{on}} \right} \times V_{in}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 40$R_{on}$</td>
<td>$\frac{R_L}{R_L + 40R_{on}}$ $\frac{1}{4} \left{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + 40R_{on}} \right} \times 4V_{in}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional converter [8-12]</td>
<td>1/4 3$R_{on}$</td>
<td>$\frac{R_L}{R_L + 3R_{on}}$ $\frac{1}{4} \left{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + 3R_{on}} \right} \times V_{in}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 48$R_{on}$</td>
<td>$\frac{R_L}{R_L + 48R_{on}}$ $\frac{1}{4} \left{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + 48R_{on}} \right} \times 4V_{in}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional converter [13,14]</td>
<td>1/4 $(3/2)R_{on}$</td>
<td>$\frac{R_L}{R_L + (3/2)R_{on}}$ $\frac{1}{4} \left{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + (3/2)R_{on}} \right} \times V_{in}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 24$R_{on}$</td>
<td>$\frac{R_L}{R_L + 24R_{on}}$ $\frac{1}{4} \left{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + 24R_{on}} \right} \times 4V_{in}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional converter [15,16]</td>
<td>1/4 $(5/2)R_{on}$</td>
<td>$\frac{R_L}{R_L + (5/2)R_{on}}$ $\frac{1}{4} \left{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + (5/2)R_{on}} \right} \times V_{in}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 40$R_{on}$</td>
<td>$\frac{R_L}{R_L + 40R_{on}}$ $\frac{1}{4} \left{ \frac{R_L}{R_L + 40R_{on}} \right} \times 4V_{in}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Simulated output voltage in the conversion ratio of 1/4

SC AC-AC converter can provide the $1/4 \times$ stepped-down voltage by using the multiple conversion without flying capacitors.

Figure 6 demonstrates the simulated power efficiency as a function of the output power. As Figure 6 shows, the conventional converter with symmetrical topology [13,14] achieved the highest power efficiency. On the other hand, the simulated efficiency of the proposed converter is almost the same as that of the conventional converter using nesting conversion [15,16]. These simulated results correspond to the theoretical results discussed in Section 3.2. When the output power is 0.25kW, the proposed converter improved about 8% power efficiency from the conventional converter [8-12]. Of course, the power efficiency of the AC-AC converters depends on the on-resistance $R_{on}$ as described in Section 3.
Figure 6. Simulated power efficiency in the conversion ratio of 1/4

Figure 7 demonstrates the input power factor as a function of the output power. As Figure 7 shows, the proposed converter can realize higher input power factor than the conventional converters when the output power is more than about 150W. Concretely, when the output power is 0.25kW, the proposed converter improved more than 0.4 input power factor from the conventional converters [8-12,15,16]. The reason why the proposed converter can improve the input power factor is that the number of capacitors for the proposed converter is smaller than that for the conventional converters [8-12,15,16]. (See Table 3.) On the other hand, the input power factor of the proposed converter is almost the same as that of the conventional converter with symmetrical topology [13,14], because the number of capacitors for the proposed converter is the same as that for the conventional converter with symmetrical topology [13,14].

5. Conclusions. A small direct SC AC-AC converter with cascade topology has been proposed in this paper. Owing to the multiple conversion without flying capacitors, the proposed SC AC-AC converter provides not only small size but also high input power factor. Through SPICE simulations and theoretical analysis, the effectiveness of the proposed SC AC-AC converter was confirmed. The SPICE simulations and theoretical analysis demonstrated the following results.

Owing to the cascade topology without flying capacitors, the proposed converter can reduce the number of circuit components. Concretely, in the conversion ratio of 1/4 and 4, the proposed converter can reduce three capacitors from the conventional converter using flying capacitors. Therefore, the proposed converter can realize smaller size than
conventional converters. In the design of the direct SC AC-AC converter, this advantage will offer low-cost realization, easy production, and improve stability. Furthermore, high input power factor and high power efficiency are achieved by the reduction of circuit components. In the conversion ratio of 1/4, the SPICE simulation showed that the proposed converter improved more than 0.4 input power factor from the conventional converter using flying capacitors when the output power was 0.25kW. On the other hand, when the output power was 0.25kW, the proposed converter improved about 8% power efficiency from the conventional converter using flying capacitors. The power efficiency of the proposed converter was almost the same as that of the conventional converter using nesting conversion. From these results, we confirmed the effectiveness of the proposed converter topology.

In a future study, we are going to fabricate the laboratory prototype of the proposed SC AC-AC converter. Concerning the laboratory prototype, the experiments will be conducted to confirm the feasibility of the proposed converter.
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Appendix.

A.1. Equivalent Model in the Conversion Ratio of 4. Figure 8 illustrates the instantaneous equivalent circuits of the proposed converter in the conversion ratio of 4. By using Figure 8, we derive the four-terminal equivalent model shown in Figure 3 theoretically. In Figure 8(a), the differential values of electric charges in the input and the output, $\Delta q_{T_1,V_{in}}$ and $\Delta q_{T_1,V_{out}}$, satisfy

$$\Delta q_{T_1,V_{in}} = \Delta q^1_{T_1} - \Delta q^2_{T_1},$$

(22)

$$\Delta q^4_{T_1} = \Delta q^2_{T_1} + \Delta q^3_{T_1},$$

(23)

and

$$\Delta q_{T_1,V_{out}} = \Delta q^4_{T_1}. \quad (24)$$

On the other hand, in Figure 8(b), the differential values of electric charges in the input and the output, $\Delta q_{T_2,V_{in}}$ and $\Delta q_{T_2,V_{out}}$, satisfy

$$\Delta q_{T_2,V_{in}} = \Delta q^2_{T_2} - \Delta q^1_{T_2},$$

(25)

$$\Delta q^4_{T_2} = \Delta q^1_{T_2} + \Delta q^3_{T_2},$$

(26)

and

$$\Delta q_{T_2,V_{out}} = \Delta q^3_{T_2}. \quad (27)$$

These equations are also obtained by using Kirchhoff's current law. Since the average input/output currents are expressed by (11) and (12), we have the following relation by substituting (22)-(27) into (11) and (12).

$$I_{in} = -4I_{out}, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\Delta q_{V_{in}} = -4\Delta q_{V_{out}}. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, the parameter $m$ is obtained as $m = 4$.

Next, the parameter $R_{SC}$ is derived by using the total consumed energy of Figure 8. From Figure 8, the consumed energies, $W_{T_1}$ and $W_{T_2}$, are expressed as

$$W_{T_1} = \left( \frac{\Delta q^2_{T_1}}{T_1} \right)^2 \times R_{on}T_1 + \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_1,V_{out}} - \Delta q^3_{T_1}}{T_1} \right)^2 \times R_{on}T_1$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_1,V_{in}}}{T_1} \right)^2 \times 2R_{on}T_1 \quad (30)$$

and

$$W_{T_2} = \left( \frac{\Delta q^2_{T_2}}{T_2} \right)^2 \times R_{on}T_2 + \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_2,V_{out}} - \Delta q^4_{T_2}}{T_2} \right)^2 \times R_{on}T_2$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\Delta q_{T_2,V_{in}}}{T_2} \right)^2 \times 2R_{on}T_2. \quad (31)$$
Substituting (22)-(27) into (30) and (31), the total consumed energy $W_T$ is obtained as

$$W_T = W_{T_1} + W_{T_2} = \left(\frac{q_{\text{out}}}{T}\right)^2 40R_{\text{on}}T. \quad (32)$$

Therefore, we get the internal resistance $R_{SC}$ as $40R_{\text{on}}$, because the total consumed energies of the four-terminal equivalent model is expressed as (18). Finally, by combining $m = 4$ and $R_{SC} = 40R_{\text{on}}$, the four-terminal equivalent model in the conversion ratio of 4 is obtained as

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{\text{in}} \\ I_{\text{in}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 40R_{\text{on}} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{\text{out}} \\ -I_{\text{out}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (33)$$

because the four-terminal model of Figure 8 is expressed by the K-matrix. From (33), the maximum efficiency and the maximum output voltage are derived as

$$\eta = \frac{R_L}{R_L + 40R_{\text{on}}}, \quad (34)$$

and

$$V_{\text{out}} = \left(\frac{R_L}{R_L + 40R_{\text{on}}}\right) \times 4V_{\text{in}}. \quad (35)$$